Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Cu	0	0	1/2	0.03582 (14)
01	-0.1718 (2)	-0.0327 (2)	0.5160(2)	0.0454 (5)
O2	-0.0870 (2)	-0.1477 (2)	0.6634 (2)	0.0567 (5)
O3	-0.2191 (2)	-0.3281 (3)	0.7476 (2)	0.0686 (7)
CI	-0.1792 (2)	-0.1181(3)	0.5926 (2)	0.0410 (6)
C2	-0.3009 (2)	-0.1887 (3)	0.5925 (2)	0.0382 (6)
C3	-0.3136 (3)	-0.2918 (3)	0.6677 (2)	0.0455 (6)
C4	-0.4260 (3)	-0.3623 (4)	0.6614 (3)	0.0629 (9)
C5	-0.5240 (3)	-0.3293 (4)	0.5827 (3)	0.0741 (11)
C6	-0.5141 (3)	-0.2266 (5)	0.5084 (3)	0.0761 (12)
C7	-0.4025 (3)	-0.1582 (4)	0.5129 (3)	0.0556 (8)
O4	-0.2272 (2)	-0.5680 (2)	0.2826 (2)	0.0694 (7)
N1	0.0096 (2)	-0.1893 (2)	0.4352 (2)	0.0376 (5)
N2	-0.3092 (2)	-0.3592 (3)	0.2452 (2)	0.0556 (7)
C8	-0.0945 (2)	-0.2486 (2)	0.3817 (2)	0.0353 (6)
C9	-0.0969 (2)	-0.3783 (2)	0.3381 (2)	0.0375 (6)
C10	0.0139 (3)	-0.4515 (3)	0.3506 (3)	0.0554 (8)
C11	0.1216 (3)	-0.3913 (3)	0.4036 (3)	0.0615 (9)
C12	0.1156 (3)	-0.2616 (3)	0.4449 (3)	0.0488 (7)
C13	-0.2169 (3)	-0.4432 (3)	0.2852 (2)	0.0457 (7)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu—O1	1.928 (2)	O3—C3	1.354 (3)
CuN1	2.022 (2)	C1—C2	1.487 (3)
Cu—O2	2.766 (2)	O4C13	1.222 (3)
01—C1	1.275 (3)	N2-C13	1.327 (4)
O2—C1	1.255 (3)	C9—C13	1.500 (3)
01—Cu—N1	90.01 (8)	O3—C3—C4	117.8 (3)
O1—Cu—O2	52.72 (7)	O3—C3—C2	122.4 (3)
N1—Cu—O2	81.91 (7)	C8C9C13	121.4 (2)
02—C1—O1	122.3 (2)	C10-C9-C13	120.6 (2)
O2-C1-C2	120.2 (3)	O4C13N2	122.9 (3)
01-C1-C2	117.4 (2)	O4-C13-C9	120.1 (3)
C7C2C1	120.3 (2)	N2C13C9	117.0 (2)
C3—C2—C1	120.9 (2)		

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	<i>D</i> H	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D-H···A
N2-H10···O4 ¹	0.83 (3)	2.07 (3)	2.895 (3)	173 (3)
$N2$ — $H11 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{n}$	0.80(3)	2.30 (3)	3.022 (3)	150 (3)
O3-H5···O2	0.87 (4)	1.79 (4)	2.588 (3)	151 (3)
Symmetry codes: (i)	$(1-\frac{1}{2}-x,\frac{1}{2}+x)$	$y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ (ii	$(x - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$	$-y, z-\frac{1}{2}.$

The space group $P2_1/n$ was deduced from the systematic absences. All H atoms were found in the difference electrondensity map and their positional and isotropic displacement parameters were fully refined. Final difference electrondensity map revealed a maximum and minimum at 0.272 and $-0.244 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$, respectively. Calculations were performed on the standard PC 486/16MB.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: NRCVAX (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1971) and PLUTON (Spek, 1991). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

The financial support of the Ministry for Science and Technology, Republic of Slovenia, through grant J1-7313-103 is gratefully acknowledged.

© 1997 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KA1233). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.
- Hoang, N. N., Valach, F. & Melnik, M. (1993). Z. Kristallogr. 208, 27-33.
- Johnson, C. K. (1971). *ORTEPII*. Report ORNL-3794, revised. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kozlevčar, B., Fajfar, S., Petrič, M., Pohleven, F. & Šegedin, P. (1996). Acta Chim. Slov. 43, 385–395.
- Leban, I., Šegedin, P. & Gruber, K. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 1096–1098.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1991). PLUTON. Molecular Graphics Program. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 1422-1424

Diaquabis(dimethyl 3-oxopentanedioato- O^1, O^3)zinc(II)

JOHN FAWCETT, RAYMOND D. W. KEMMITT, DAVID R. RUSSELL AND KULDIP SINGH

Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, England. E-mail: jxf@leicester.ac.uk

(Received 24 April 1997; accepted 4 June 1997)

Abstract

The molecular structure of the title compound, $[Zn(C_7H_9O_5)_2(H_2O)_2]$, shows the Zn atom coordinated by two monoanionic bidentate enolate ligands and two O atoms of water resulting in a pseudo-octahedral arrangement. The H atoms of the water molecules are oriented in such a way that one H atom forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond $[H6B\cdots O2(-x, -y, -z)]$, while the other H atom forms an intermolecular hydrogen bond $[H6A\cdots O3(1-x, -y, -z)]$. The intermolecular contacts link the molecules into stacks.

Comment

We have shown that esters of 3-oxopentanedioic acid RCH_2COCH_2R , (2), react with carbonato complexes

[Pt(CO₃) L_2] in warm ethanol to afford the oxatrimethylenemethane complexes [Pt{*n*-*R*CHC(O)CH*R*} L_2] (*R* = CO₂Me, CO₂Et, CO₂^{*n*}Pr; *L* = tertiary phosphine or arsine donor ligand) (Clarke *et al.*, 1984) which contain the formally dianionic ligand [*R*CHCOCH*R*]²⁻. We now find that the dimethyl ester of (2) reacts with aqueous zinc chloride to afford the title monoanionic enolate complex, (1).



The Zn atom is located on an inversion centre with approximately octahedral coordination completed by two bidentate dimethyl 3-oxopentanedioato ligands and two water molecules (Fig. 1). The O3-Zn-O4 angle of the bidentate ligand is 89.23 (8)° and the angles between the coordinating O atoms of the ligand and that of the water molecule are 93.95 (8)° for O3-Zn-O6 and 88.70 (8)° for O4-Zn-O6. The Zn-O bond distances are shorter for the ligand atoms O3 and O4 [2.019(2)] and 2.079(2)Å, respectively than for O6 of the water molecule [2.178(2)Å]. The Zn-O distances are comparable with those found in aquabis(methylmaltolato)zinc and aquabis(3-hydroxy-1,2-dimethylpyridinone)zinc of 2.006–2.264 Å (Burgess, Fawcett, Parsons, Ahmed & Russell, 1997). The orientation of the water molecule is such that one of the H atoms forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond to the O2 atom of the ligand [H6B \cdots O2ⁱ 2.141 (3) and O6 \cdots O2ⁱ



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the title compound showing the atomnumbering scheme and 30% displacement ellipsoids. Primed atoms are generated by a centre of symmetry. The solid dashed lines indicate intramolecular hydrogen bonding, while intermolecular hydrogen bonds are shown as open dashed lines indicating their direction.

3.045 (4) Å; symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z], while the other H atom forms an intermolecular hydrogen bond to an O3 atom of an adjacent molecule [H6A···O3ⁱⁱ 2.128 (3) and O6···O3ⁱⁱ 2.754 (3) Å; symmetry code: (ii) 1 - x, -y, -z]. The intermolecular hydrogen bonds link the molecules in stacks down the *a* axis with two hydrogen bonds H6A···O3ⁱⁱ and O3···H6Aⁱⁱ between each adjacent molecule.

Experimental

Basic zinc carbonate $(0.68 \text{ g}, 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol})$ was suspended in water (9 ml) and was converted to the chloride by the gradual addition of 6 *M* HCl until the solution was just acidic. Dimethyl 3-oxopentanedioate (3.48 g, 0.02 mol) was suspended in water (10 ml) and 6 *M* ammonia added dropwise with stirring. The resulting solution was added with stirring to the zinc chloride solution. The white precipitate was filtered and washed with water and dried in air to give 0.78 g of the title enolato complex. Colourless crystals were obtained from chloroform.

Crystal data

$[Zn(C_7H_9O_5)_2(H_2O)_2]$ M = 447.69	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 23
$P2_1/c$ a = 4.939(2) Å	reflections $\theta = 6.4 - 12.5^{\circ}$
b = 20.110(6) Å a = 10.003(3) Å	$\mu = 1.309 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K
$\beta = 98.85 (2)^{\circ}$	Block
$V = 981.7 (6) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2	$0.45 \times 0.24 \times 0.19$ mm Colourless
$D_x = 1.515 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m \text{ not measured}$	

Data collection

Siemens P4 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: semi-empirical on ψ scans (Sheldrick, 1990) $T_{min} = 0.786$, $T_{max} = 0.837$ 2473 measured reflections 1710 independent reflections 1323 reflections with

$I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$ $wR(F^2) = 0.092$ S = 1.0611709 reflections 124 parameters H atoms: see below $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0368P)^2 + 0.4667P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 3 standard reflections every 100 reflections intensity variation: <1% $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.001$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.034$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$

 $h = -1 \rightarrow 5$

 $k = -1 \rightarrow 23$

 $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = -0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.26 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$				
	x	у	Ζ	U_{eq}
Zn	0	0	0	0.0305 (2)
01	0.1113 (7)	-0.20833 (12)	-0.4191 (3)	0.0671 (8)
O2	-0.1124 (6)	-0.17403 (13)	-0.2557 (3)	0.0643 (8)
O3	0.1932 (4)	-0.03891 (11)	-0.1458 (2)	0.0345 (5)
O4	-0.2321 (4)	0.05893 (10)	-0.1455 (2)	0.0353 (5)
O5	-0.4742 (5)	0.07375 (12)	-0.3510(2)	0.0445 (6)
O6	0.2876 (4)	0.08217(11)	0.0426 (2)	0.0377 (5)
C1	-0.0154 (13)	-0.2730 (2)	-0.4155 (6)	0.104 (2)
C2	0.0427 (7)	-0.1635 (2)	-0.3339 (3)	0.0405 (8)
C3	0.1857 (7)	-0.0987 (2)	-0.3502 (3)	0.0376(7)
C4	0.0749 (6)	-0.0445 (2)	-0.2694 (3)	0.0306 (7)
C5	-0.1453 (6)	-0.0087 (2)	-0.3312 (3)	0.0331 (7)
C6	-0.2773 (6)	0.0421 (2)	-0.2661 (3)	0.0316(7)
C7	-0.6237 (8)	0.1246 (2)	-0.2945 (4)	0.0535 (10)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

	-	-	
ZnO3	2.019 (2)	O3—C4	1.289(3)
ZnO4	2.079 (2)	O4—C6	1.240 (3)
Zn06	2.178 (2)	O5-C6	1.349 (4)
01—C2	1.320 (4)	O5—C7	1.428 (4)
01—C1	1.446 (5)	O6. · · O2'	3.045 (4)
O2—C2	1.196 (4)	O6· · ·O3 [™]	2.754 (3)
O3—Zn—O4	89.23 (8)	O4—Zn—O6	88.70 (8)
O3—Zn—O6	93.95 (8)		
Symmetry codes:	(i) $-x, -y, -z;$ (ii) $1 - x, -y, -z$.	

Aqua H atoms were located from a difference Fourier map and thereafter allowed to ride on O6. All other H atoms were introduced at geometrically calculated positions and allowed to ride on their parent atoms.

Data collection: XSCANS (Fait, 1991). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL/PC.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1165). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Burgess, J., Fawcett, J., Parsons, S. A., Ahmed, S. I. & Russell, D. R. (1997). In preparation.
- Clarke, D. A., Kernmitt, R. D. W., Mazid, M. A., McKenna, P., Russell, D. R., Schilling, M. D. & Sherry, L. J. S. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1993–2002.
- Fait, J. (1991). XSCANS Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTLIPC Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.